Ô	1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
- Ban	2	PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
	3	
	4	<b>October 28, 2015</b> - 9:01 a.m. Concord, New Hampshire
	5	Concord, New Hampshire MHPUC NOV12'15 PM 3:18
	6	RE: DG 15-362
	7	LIBERTY UTILITIES (ENERGYNORTH NATURAL GAS) CORP. d/b/a LIBERTY UTILITIES:
	8	Petition for Expansion of Franchise to Towns of Pelham and Windham and Waiver of the
	9	Tariff Filing Requirements Pursuant to Puc 1603.02(a). (Prehearing conference)
1	10	140 1000.01(4): (110001111g 001101000)
	11	<b>PRESENT:</b> Chairman Martin P. Honigberg, Presiding
	12	Commissioner Robert R. Scott Commissioner Kathryn M. Bailey
	13	
	14	Sandy Deno, Clerk
	15	APPEARANCES: Reptg. Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities:
	16	Sarah B. Knowlton, Esq. (Rath Young)
	17	<b>Reptg. Residential Ratepayers:</b> Pradip Chattopadhyay, Asst. Consumer Advocate
	18	Susan Chamberlin, Esq., Consumer Advocate Office of Consumer Advocate
	19	Reptg. PUC Staff:
	20	Alexander F. Speidel, Esq. Stephen P. Frink, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water Div.
	21	
	22	
	23	Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
( )	24	
		그는 그는 그는 그는 것을 수 있는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 가지 않는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 것을 수 있다. 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 것을 수 있다. 가지 않는 것을 수 있다. 것을 것을 것을 것을 것 같이 않다. 것을 것을 것 같이 않다. 것을 것 같이 않다. 것을 것 같이 않다. 것을 것 같이 않다. 것을 것 같이 같이 않다. 것을 것 같이 않다. 않다. 것 같이 않다. 것 같이 않다. 것 않다. 것 같이 않다.

ORIGINAL

1		
2	INDEX	
3		PAGE NO.
4	STATEMENTS REGARDING THE TOWN OF PELHAM INTERVENTION REQUEST BY:	
5	INIERVENIION REQUEST BI.	
6	Ms. Knowlton	4
7	Mr. Speidel	4
8		
9	STATEMENTS REGARDING LIBERTY'S MOTION TO WAIVE THE REQUIREMENT THAT A TARIFF BE FILED BY:	
10	THE RECORDERT THAT A TAKIFF DE FILLD DI.	
11	Mr. Chattopadhyay	5
12	Mr. Speidel	5
13	Ms. Knowlton	5
14		
15	STATEMENTS OF PRELIMINARY POSITION BY:	
16	Ms. Knowlton	6
17	Mr. Chattopadhyay	8
18	Mr. Speidel	8
19		
20	QUESTIONS BY:	
21	Chairman Honigberg 6,	, 10, 11
22	Commissioner Scott	12, 14
23		
24		
	{DG 15-362} [Prehearing conference] {10-2	28-15}

1	the Commission. And, I have with me the Assistant
2	Director of the Gas & Water Division, Stephen Frink.
3	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We have an
4	intervention request from the Town of Pelham. Is there
5	anybody here from the Town of Pelham or for the Town of
6	Pelham?
7	[No verbal response]
8	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: It would seem not.
9	Has anybody heard from Pelham?
10	(Atty. Speidel indicating in the
11	negative.)
12	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Is there going to
13	be any objection to granting their intervention request?
14	MS. KNOWLTON: The Company has none.
15	MR. SPEIDEL: Staff has no objection.
16	And, as a matter of fact, we believe that the Town of
17	Pelham's intervention request would qualify under Subpart
18	I of the intervention standard statute, insofar as Pelham
19	is the municipality in which part of the franchise would
20	sit.
21	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We are prepared to
22	grant the Motion to Intervene from Pelham.
23	Has anybody heard from the Town of
24	Windham?
	{DG 15-362} [Prehearing conference] {10-28-15}

1	[No verbal response]
2	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. There's
3	also a motion by the Company to waive the requirement that
4	a tariff be filed with the Petition. Staff or the OCA
5	have any position on that motion?
6	MR. CHATTOPADHYAY: No, I don't.
7	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Speidel.
8	MR. SPEIDEL: Staff would like to take
9	that waiver request under advisement, and issue a
10	recommendation regarding that request at a later time.
11	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay.
12	Ms. Knowlton.
13	MS. KNOWLTON: Two things. I would note
14	that, in the Town of Pelham's intervention petition, they
15	had some concerns about the waiver request, in that they
16	don't have a copy of the tariff. We actually brought a
17	copy with us today for the Town, if they wanted a copy.
18	It is available on both the Company's website, as well as
19	the Commission's.
20	The second point is with regard to the
21	Motion for Waiver. The only change that would occur in
22	the tariff as a result of the Company's filing, should it
23	be granted, is that the franchise town list, which is on
24	one page of the tariff, would be changed. The Company
	{DG 15-362} [Prehearing conference] {10-28-15}

1	isn't seeking other changes to the tariff as a part of
2	this proceeding. So, that's why we elected not to file
3	the entire tariff, which is, you know, probably at least a
4	half inch thick.
5	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Oh, I think we
6	understand why you did what you did. Is there any problem
7	if that motion is not granted immediately?
8	MS. KNOWLTON: Sure. I mean, we have no
9	problem making the filing. You know, I think what we
10	would do is file with redline the page that lists the
11	franchise towns and add Pelham and Windham, since that is
12	our proposal. And, we can file that with the Commission.
13	That's no issue.
14	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Then,
15	it sounds like that's moot. We'll just deal with it that
16	way. All right.
17	Any other preliminary matters before we
18	hear the parties initial positions?
19	[No verbal response]
20	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Didn't think so.
21	Ms. Knowlton.
22	MS. KNOWLTON: Thank you. The Company
23	is pleased to be here today requesting to receive
24	authority from the Commission to operate within the Towns
	{DG 15-362} [Prehearing conference] {10-28-15}

of Pelham and Windham. Over the course of the past couple years, the Company has had periodic requests, in particular, from Windham, to serve in that area. And, so, there have been ongoing discussions. And, I think, as the Commission may have seen, there was a letter that was filed by the Town of Windham in support of the Company's request.

8 We think that this is a very positive 9 development to expand the availability of natural gas in 10 the southern part of the state. As we have indicated in 11 our filing, you know, these towns, because they're so close to the Massachusetts border, and those towns on the 12 13 Massachusetts side of the border have natural gas 14 available. You know, there is some economic competition 15 for businesses, and possibly homeowners as well. So, I 16 think the towns, my understanding is, is that there is an 17 economic motivation in part behind this.

The Company would propose to, if it receives approval within the next few months, that the Company could construct the system, the Phase 1 part of the system, and that would be in service by the heating season next year, which, again, we think is a very positive development. We think this is a very straightforward petition. I would note that the Company

1	will be filing a petition for a river crossing. It's a
2	very small crossing, but, nonetheless, the statute does
3	require approval to do so, and the Company will follow
4	that process and submit something within the next few
5	months, so that the Commission can consider that as well.
6	But we do believe that this is in the
7	public interest. The Company is certainly a
8	well-established utility in the state, and has the
9	technical, managerial, and financial ability to provide
10	utility service in these two towns, as it does in its
11	other franchised areas.
12	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you.
13	Dr. Chattopadhyay.
14	MR. CHATTOPADHYAY: The New Hampshire
15	Office of Consumer Advocate at this point doesn't have any
16	position. But we are, obviously, going to be working on
17	the procedural schedule, and that would provide us the
18	opportunity to participate in this docket.
19	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Speidel.
20	MR. SPEIDEL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
21	Staff at the present time has not come to a substantive
22	conclusion regarding the advisability of the franchise
23	petition. However, as indicated in the Order of Notice,
24	there's a series of statutory standards that we must
	$\{DC, 15-362\}$ [Prehearing conference] $\{10-28-15\}$

1	analyze regarding the advisability for franchise approval
2	of this particular proposal.
3	Also, there's one small minor technical
4	element that hasn't been examined in the Order of Notice,
5	and that would be the fact that there are a number of
6	official and semi-official materials that indicate that
7	the Town of Pelham is part of the Northern gas franchise
8	territory. And, to our knowledge, that reference is made
9	within Northern's official filings in annual reports.
10	However, there are no customers served by Northern, to
11	Staff's knowledge.
12	And, so, that's an area of factual
13	inquiry that we'll be making regarding whether, number
14	one, Northern maintains some level of franchise
15	exclusivity within the Town of Pelham; whether that
16	so-called "franchise exclusivity" has lapsed; and the
17	exact nature of the franchise that Liberty is seeking,
18	insofar as they may be seeking a border-to-border
19	franchise for both towns, wherein, after approval by the
20	Commission, they would have the inclusive right to offer
21	natural gas service within the entire borders of each
22	town.
23	So, that's something that Staff will be
24	engaging in as a matter of factual and legal inquiry. But
	{DG 15-362} [Prehearing conference] {10-28-15}

1	we expect that we'll be working with the Company quite
2	closely regarding engineering details and economic
3	details, and other elements of their proposal. Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Do we have an order
5	of notice problem? Do we need to give notice do we
6	need to give specific notice to Northern?
7	MR. SPEIDEL: The interesting fact is
8	that we don't believe so, in that Northern is very well
9	aware of dockets being filed before the Commission in
10	various venues. I think they have staff that are
11	responsible for monitoring that.
12	What we will do is we will reach out to
13	Northern and ask them about whether they're aware of this
14	docket during the pendency of the proceeding. And, we
15	haven't heard anything thus far. We expect that they
16	would be willing, and, again, this is a supposition, that
17	they would be willing to cede the exclusivity of the
18	franchise of Liberty, insofar as they have spent many
19	years holding the franchise in Pelham, and they have not
20	yet connected a single customer to service to our
21	knowledge.
22	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Knowlton.
23	MS. KNOWLTON: My understanding is that
24	years ago the Commission issued an order approving the
	{DG 15-362} [Prehearing conference] {10-28-15}

1 sale of the propane system or the propane farm in Pelham 2 that Northern opened and operated. And that, since the 3 time of that sale, Northern has not served any customers 4 in Pelham. 5 So, you know, I don't know whether it's 6 just a matter of administrative oversight that they 7 haven't deleted Pelham from their franchise -- their list of franchise towns in their tariff. But we see no 8 9 indication whatsoever that Northern intends to serve in 10 Pelham. And, we have not had conversations with Northern, 11 but, you know, again, we've seen no activity. And, again, 12 you know, these towns have been talking to Liberty 13 Utilities about service. You know, we don't, again, have 14 any knowledge that they have been speaking to Northern. 15 But, you know, I think, as indicated in 16 Mr. Clark's testimony, you know, that various town 17 committees and town representatives have been holding 18 meetings with the Company for some period of time. So, 19 you know, it may, from my perspective, be nothing more 20 than, you know, just that Pelham needs to come out of 21 their tariff, since they have not demonstrated any actions 22 relating that they have an intention to actually serve

there, you know, in any recent period.

23

24 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Well, you'll sort

1 that out, I suspect, and get it right. Timing, I heard Ms. Knowlton use a phrase like "a few months". What's the 2 3 expectation here? I know you have a technical session scheduled after this where you'll talk about that. 4 But 5 what's the expectation for how long this proceeding will 6 take? 7 MS. KNOWLTON: We intend to file the river crossing petition, I'd say, within the next few 8 9 months. And, my hope would be that we could conclude this 10 proceeding sometime in the early part of 2016, within the 11 first quarter of 2016. That would leave the Company time 12 to have the system constructed for the heating season next 13 year. 14 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I'll note for the 15 record that two-thirds of the Commissioners have the 16 letter from Windham, one-third does not. 17 MS. KNOWLTON: I'd be glad to provide a 18 copy, if that would be helpful? 19 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: That's all right. 20 It's up here. I've had a chance to look at it. Is there 21 any -- either Commissioners have any questions? 22 Commissioner Scott. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. And, I do have 23 24 the letter from Windham. So, I'm one of the lucky ones. {DG 15-362} [Prehearing conference] {10-28-15}

1	I just, and we don't have to go into great detail, I was
2	just curious, is the filing going to be in any way
3	predicated upon additional pipeline capacity being brought
4	into Dracut or to feed the Concord Lateral?
5	MS. KNOWLTON: The Phase 1 construction
6	plan, which is what is detailed in Mr. Clark's testimony,
7	is not. If there will be subsequent phases of
8	construction, then that will be tied to the later
9	availability of increased pipeline capacity.
10	CMSR. SCOTT: Okay. Thank you.
11	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner
12	Bailey, any questions?
13	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: No thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Is there anything
15	else we need to do before we break?
16	CMSR. SCOTT: Well, I have
17	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Speidel?
18	MR. SPEIDEL: Just
19	CMSR. SCOTT: I have another one.
20	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Oh, I'm sorry.
21	Commissioner Scott has another question. I'm sorry.
22	CMSR. SCOTT: I apologize.
23	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: He pushed the
24	microphone away, I thought he was done, and he fooled me.
	{DG 15-362} [Prehearing conference] {10-28-15}

1	CMSR. SCOTT: And, again, this is a
2	general sentiment from me. Is it safe to assume that,
3	assuming this project were to go forward, it wouldn't
4	divert any resources away from your efforts to answer
5	customer requests for expansion within your existing
6	franchise areas?
7	MS. KNOWLTON: No, not at all.
8	CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Speidel.
10	MR. SPEIDEL: Yes. Just for my own
11	edification, has the Commission granted the Motion for
12	Intervention by the Town of Pelham?
13	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Yes.
14	MR. SPEIDEL: It has indeed, okay. I
15	just wanted to reiterate that.
16	And, there was a question about the
17	timing of the proceeding. We would expect that we would
18	set up the procedural schedule with two rounds of
19	discovery, as with the other franchise approvals. And, at
20	the termination of that discovery, we would then suggest
21	further steps, on the basis of how Staff felt about the
22	situation, along with OCA and the Company, and the Town of
23	Pelham.
24	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. You'll
	(DC, 1E, 2C2) [Durch continue configuration of $(10, 20, 1E)$

{DG 15-362} [Prehearing conference] {10-28-15}

1	be filing a proposed procedural schedule, I'm sure, and
2	we'll get a look at it after your technical session.
3	That's right, is it not?
4	MR. SPEIDEL: Yes. After we run it
5	through Pelham, obviously.
6	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. All
7	right, anything else we need to do then?
8	[No verbal response]
9	CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. We will
10	adjourn and leave you to your technical session. Thank
11	you all.
12	(Whereupon the prehearing conference was
13	adjourned at 9:15 a.m., and a technical
14	session was held thereafter.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	{DG 15-362} [Prehearing conference] {10-28-15}